



Londonderry Conservation Commission
Tuesday, June 28, 2022
Minutes

Present: Marge Badois, Chair; G Harrington, Vice Chair; Deb Lievens, member; David Heafey, member; Susan Malouin, member; and Mike Speltz, alternate member

Absent: Mike Byerly, member; and Bob Maxwell, member

Also present: Amy Kizak, GIS Manager/Comprehensive Planner; and Beth Morrison, Recording Secretary.

Marge Badois called the meeting to order at 7:30 pm. She appointed M Speltz to vote for M Byerly.

Public Hearing - acquisition of property at 274 Nashua Road: D Lievens made a motion to open the public hearing for the acquisition of property at 274 Nashua Road. M Speltz second the motion. The motion passed, 6-0-0.

M Speltz started the presentation by reviewing the parcel with the Commission and the public. He noted that this is a completely undeveloped parcel, except for the fact that back in the early part of the 20th century, there was a spring house there where water was extracted then moved to Nashua and sold all over the United States under the name Lithia Water. He pointed out the parcel is 54 acres and the Town would own the parcel and grant a conservation easement to the Forest Society. He reviewed his power point presentation, Exhibit 1, which is attached hereto. He mentioned that this is some of the best habitat in the state for wetlands and noted that there is a variety of wetlands on the site as well. He added that the wetland variety will support a lot of biological diversity. He said that this parcel provides flood protection and because of all the plants on the property, this contributes to the water quality of all the surrounding wells. He reiterated that this is the site of the Lithia Springs water company, which is what Londonderry was famous for. He reviewed maps and pictures from the presentation on the screen with the Commission and public. He commented that there are both heron and osprey nests on the property. He explained that there is a purchase and sale agreement for a price of \$1.5 million or the appraised value if the appraisal comes in less than \$1.5 million, and in that case, they will need to come to an agreement on what the price would be. He stated that they are applying to two grant programs, The Land & Community Heritage Program and the Aquatic Resource Mitigation (ARM) Fund, of which they are applying for the maximum amount \$500,000. He added that the town's conservation fund has enough money in it to make up for all the other expenses, such as the survey, title insurance, etc. He added that they will not know if they receive a grant until November. He concluded his presentation and asked if there was any public comment.

Ray Breslin, 3 Gary Drive, addressed the Commission. R Breslin expressed his opinion that he thinks this is a great idea for several reasons. He commented that the protection of water is important for the town, and if there is potential for water on the property, such as ground water or in the bedrock, utility companies might be interested in tapping into this. He asked if the state would be able to grant a utility



Londonderry Conservation Commission
Tuesday, June 28, 2022
Minutes

37 company to drill on the site to extract water. M Speltz replied that this is typically a consideration,
38 especially for the ARM Fund. He said that this has been done before and there are standard provisions
39 that can be written in the conservation easement to keep this open.

40 Ann Chiampa, 28 Wedgewood Drive, addressed the Commission. A Chiampa said that personally this is a
41 wonderful thing because of the historic nature of the property. She noted that she is the curator of the
42 Londonderry Historical Society and that they took a vote and are in complete support of the purchase of
43 the property. She mentioned that E.B. Greeley, sold the land to the Londonderry Lithia Springs Water
44 Company in 1886. She added that the water was sold internationally and in Hertz's atlas of 1892 there is
45 a full page picture of the bottling plant in Nashua with an inset of the spring house. She reviewed some
46 other history of the parcel with the Commission and the public. M Speltz mentioned that the stone
47 foundation of the old spring house is still on the site and he hopes that someone from the Londonderry
48 Historical Society can come to the parcel to view it.

49 M Speltz brought the discussion back to the Commission as there was no further public comment. He
50 said that the Commission's job tonight is to recommend to the Town Council to move forward with the
51 project. M Speltz made a motion to recommend to the Town Council to move forward with the Lithia
52 Spring project according to the terms of the purchase and sale agreement. G Harrington seconded the
53 motion. The motion passed, 6-0-0.

54 M Speltz made a motion to close the public hearing for the acquisition of land at 274 Nashua Road. G
55 Harrington seconded the motion. The motion passed, 6-0-0.

56 **CUP – Eversource Energy – 365 Electric Distribution Line Realignment:** Kristopher Wilkes, Project
57 Manager at VHB, 2 Bedford Farms Drive, Bedford, NH and Ashley Friend, from Eversource addressed the
58 Commission. K Wilkes noted that he is very aware of the Lithia Springs parcel, as he delineated wetlands
59 on the site for Eversource and wished the Commission good luck on the purchase. M Speltz mentioned
60 that a letter of support from the management team at Eversource would be greatly appreciated. K
61 Wilkes replied that he would pass that message along. He explained that the project he is here for
62 tonight is in conjunction with The New Hampshire Department of Transportation (NHDOT) Exit 4A
63 project. He pointed out that in order to build the project off I-93, there is a proposed roadway that goes
64 right down the center of Eversource's 365 Line right-of way. He reviewed the project, noting that they
65 are looking to realign poles for about half a mile, immediately east and west of I-93. He commented that
66 they will be installing seven, new, weathered steel monopole structures, replacing three existing wood
67 poles with weathered steel monopoles, replacing a wood pole with a new wood pole, and removing 10
68 existing wood poles and overhead lines. M Speltz asked why they are replacing a wood pole with a wood
69 pole. K Wilkes replied that this is unique, as this pole is connected to residential service on the roadway
70 and those poles are always wood. M Speltz asked if they remove the caisson or foundation. K Wilkes
71 replied that they are older wood poles, which are typically directly embedded, so not in caisson or
72 foundation. He said that the new poles will be slightly higher in some areas to gain compliance with
73 engineering standards, meet safety clearance requirements, accommodate topography and to



Londonderry Conservation Commission
Tuesday, June 28, 2022
Minutes

74 accommodate the new highway crossing. He explained that crews plan to use an existing gravel access
75 road off Madden Road to traverse out there to get to the segment east of I-93. He said that they are not
76 anticipating any grading, road building or the use of gravel construction pads. He noted that this
77 minimizes the impacts and they do not have to apply for an Alteration of Terrain (AOT) permit. He stated
78 that on the west side of I-93 access will be gained using an existing residential driveway off Trolley Car
79 Lane. He added that this will avoid crossing a wetland and perennial stream. He mentioned that all
80 contractors doing work here will abide by the Utility Best Management Practice (BMP) manual, which
81 are known to minimize impacts. He said that they will use erosion controls and timber mating. M Speltz
82 asked if bridging a stream with a mat really works. K Wilkes replied that it does and reviewed the
83 process with the Commission. M Speltz said that it seems like they are going to do this in the drier
84 weather. K Wilkes reviewed the proposed time frame, noting that the schedule is largely dictated by the
85 NHDOT Exit 4A project. He mentioned that in order to create the new 135-foot easement there will
86 need to be some tree clearing. D Lievens asked what happens to the land when the entire pole is taken
87 out, such as allowing the land to revegetate. K Wilkes replied that the entire part of the right-of-way is
88 within the limit of disturbance proposed by NHDOT for the exit 4A project, so it is going to be
89 permanently impacted by the new roadway they are building. He said that they are proposing 19,473 SF
90 of wetland tree clearing, and 15,137 SF of wetland buffer tree clearing, and 34,610 SF of tree clearing in
91 the Conservation Overlay (CO) District. He reviewed the wetlands on the site with the Commission. He
92 said that wetlands 22 and 91 are both over a half acre in size, so the buffer was applied to them. He
93 commented that direct temporary wetland impact is proposed at 4,508 SF for timber matting in
94 wetlands 91 and 19 in order to gain access to the utility poles. M Speltz asked why there is no tree
95 clearing around pole 64. K Wilkes replied that this area is part of the Exit 4A project, noting they are
96 keeping their activity separate. M Speltz voiced is opinion that this is one of most well-written
97 conditional use permit (CUP) applications that he has seen and asked how the Commission can make
98 sure that it is carried out. K Wilkes replied that there will be inspections done by VHB meeting with the
99 contractor to make sure that they are following best management practices that the state or town have
100 imposed. G Harrington asked if the Commission should do a site visit to check and make sure the work is
101 being done as proposed. M Speltz added that maybe they can go to the site when K Wilkes is there.
102 Ashley Friend, from Eversource, told the Commission to call her to schedule a site visit. K Wilkes noted
103 that they will be at the Planning Board meeting on July 6, 2022. M Speltz made a motion to recommend
104 the Planning Board grant approval of the conditional use permit (CUP) as presented. G Harrington
105 seconded the motion. The motion passed, 6-0-0.

106 **DRC & CUP – Pennichuck Low Profile Water Storage Tank Site Plan – Map 10, Lot 142:** Trevor Yandow,
107 P.E., from Meridian Land Services, P.O. Box 118, Milford, NH, Mark Filion and John Boisvert from
108 Pennichuck Water, 25 Walnut Street, P.O. Box 428, Nashua, NH addressed the Commission. T Yandow
109 reviewed the application, noting that the tank is on its own lot, the booster is part of the Woodmont
110 Commons Planned Unit Development (PUD), and the water line transmission connects the two. He
111 reviewed the map on the screen with the Commission. He noted that each lot is subject to the
112 Conservation Overlay (CO) District, and has impacts to the CO District. He explained that the water tank



Londonderry Conservation Commission
Tuesday, June 28, 2022
Minutes

consists of the tank itself, which is just over 6,700 SF in area and a gravel access drive that comes off an existing spur from Gordon Drive. He said that this would be a 15-foot gravel access road. He commented that the impacts are grading impacts from the side slopes of the road and tying into the existing paved drive, which is located in the 100-foot buffer of the wetlands to the west. M Speltz asked if they could improve Spring Road for a few feet rather than having to do so much work in the 100-foot buffer. T Yandow replied that the improvements required along Spring Road are already located in the wetland area to the left of the tank site, so they figured coming off an existing paved way that is shorter access would be more favorable. M Speltz asked how they would avoid impacts to Spring Road if they need to the pipe to be constructed here. T Yandow reviewed where the pipe would go with the Commission and noted that the impacts with the transmission portion of this are temporary in nature. He added that in any area where they are looking to cross a wetland, they are proposing directional drilling, so there is no impact to the wetland surface. Mark Filion pointed out that there are three locations where they are proposing directional drilling.

T Yandow reviewed the booster station location, noting it is north of Marketplace Drive, and is a 30-foot by 40-foot building, with a paved drive, and landscaping in the front of the building. He said that this has been previously disturbed to grade for Woodmont, noting that this is in the 100-foot buffer. He pointed out that they are fully located in the buffer with no way to get around it for the booster station. He added that this will have tree clearing as well. D Heafey asked how the generator is fueled. M Filion replied that they do not have an answer right now, but are looking into natural gas. M Speltz asked if it would be a storage tank or a line for the natural gas. M Filion replied that it would be a line. He mentioned that this site is the ideal location for a booster station hydraulically speaking, as this is where their two pressure zones meet. M Speltz asked how ideal the location is, such as to the foot or inch. M Filion responded that there is a pressure reducing valve there between the two zones, which is an underground precast concrete vault. M Speltz asked if this was there now. M Filion replied that is correct. M Speltz mentioned that it is all downhill and asked what the booster station would do. John Boisvert, Engineer from Pennichuck stated that most of north Londonderry is at a lower elevation, which is their 620 pressure zone, and the booster station can grab water from the lower pressure zone when needed. He added that the booster station can grab water and provide increase pressure/water not just for Woodmont, but other existing customers. He commented that they cannot do this without the booster station because the tank would have to be 150-feet in the air, which they previously tried, but were denied the variance. He said that they went back to the drawing board and this plan is much more effective. He voiced his opinion this is an important project for not just Woodmont, but Londonderry in general. He reiterated that this is for the entire water system in Londonderry, not just Woodmont. M Badois asked how the tank would serve the rest of the town if the pipe only goes to Woodmont. J Boisvert reviewed how the tank and booster station work together to provide water to existing customers in Londonderry. D Lievens asked where the water comes from. J Boisvert replied that this is what Pennichuck has labeled the Londonderry Core Water System, which is purchased from Manchester Water Works (MWW) and/or Derry. M Speltz asked if the pressure is being boosted. J Boisvert replied that is correct. He said that the tank will be about 30-feet high and only produces 15 psi, which is not



Londonderry Conservation Commission
Tuesday, June 28, 2022
Minutes

152 enough pressure that is needed at the top of the hill at Gordon Drive where they need 40 psi or 50 psi,
153 so they need the booster station. He said that this serves the needs of the higher elevations in
154 Londonderry. G Harrington asked about the pipes shown on the plan. J Boisvert replied that all the pipes
155 are in the ground already and reviewed them with the Commission. G Harrington asked if it is just one
156 pipe or several. J Boisvert replied there is a distribution system. He remarked that booster station can
157 take water from Manchester proper or from Manchester through Derry proper that are two redundant
158 water sources supplied to the town, which the northern part of the town does not have. He mentioned
159 that if Pennichuck was to lose their feed from Manchester, half the town is without water right now
160 currently. M Speltz clarified that these improvements are not there now and there is a potential for half
161 the town to be without water without the improvements. J Boisvert replied that is correct and it did
162 happen with a water main break in the early spring on Pillsbury Road. M Speltz asked if the tank would
163 give Pennichuck a day and a half to fix the water line break. J Boisvert responded that the tank would
164 give them the time to fix a water main line break. M Speltz mentioned that the slopes of Michels Way
165 are in the wetland buffer of Duck Pond, and the Commission recommended the Planning Board not
166 approve the plan when it was originally presented, but the plan was approved. He went on stating that
167 now Pennichuck is asking to put more in the wetland buffer and he believes that this should have been
168 foreseen or another plan put in place. He asked if there was another place to put the booster station. J
169 Boisvert replied that this works well technically as it is. He noted that they have tried to minimize
170 impacts and avoid wetland impacts by using a more expensive directional drilling process. He said that
171 they would consider any comments or considerations from the Commission throughout this process. T
172 Yandow reviewed the site plan amendment on the screen with the Commission noting the edge of wet
173 and wetland buffer. M Speltz mentioned that there might be some things to minimize impacts, but how
174 does the Commission know that there is not another solution.

175 Ray Breslin, Three Gary Drive, addressed the Commission. R Breslin voiced his opinion that he believes
176 this is about fire protection for Woodmont. He said that water coming from Manchester comes to the
177 head of Mammoth Road where it meets Rockingham Road, where it drops to an 8-inch line that should
178 be replaced. He remarked that there are two issues, such as pressure and volume. He said that the
179 Mountain Home pump station has the ability to pull a lot of water, but unfortunately it is trying to pull
180 from an 8-inch line that needs to be replaced. He said that there are 5 million gallons of water sitting in
181 north Londonderry from MWW. He said that getting fire protection to Woodmont should come from a
182 line in Derry and go beneath I-93. He remarked that the tank meets zoning regulations to supply water
183 downhill to a pump station to give fire protection for Woodmont.

184 J Boisvert stated that R Breslin understands the reports and lines. He explained that whether or not it is
185 an 8-inch or 16-inch line, if something happens to it, it is the only supply in Londonderry that feeds this,
186 so that is why a tank is needed. He went on stating that if the tank is constructed, you now have storage
187 and can replace the 8-inch line. He stated that the booster station is largely for Woodmont Commons,
188 and noted that they worked out a deal for Woodmont to contribute 50% towards the project that
189 Pennichuck already was going to build. He added that it is a benefit for the existing rate payers to have
190 Woodmont paying 50% of the project. He explained that the booster station will now connect them to



Londonderry Conservation Commission
Tuesday, June 28, 2022
Minutes

191 Derry, so now there are two ways to feed water into the entire town. He said that when the water main
192 broke on Pillsbury Road, the entire northern part of Londonderry did not have water. He pointed out
193 that it is a larger issue than an 8-inch pipe because they can get sufficient capacity from the 8-inch pipe
194 to meet the average day to day demands. He said that they are building resiliency and eliminating risk
195 with this plan. He mentioned that they would love to replace the 8-inch line, but there are other
196 solutions that have a greater impact than just replacing the pipe. R Breslin said that the tank will provide
197 redundancy once it is filled, but the tank would still have to be filled by the 8-inch line. He said that the
198 booster station is simply for fire protection for Woodmont. J Boisvert interjected that the booster
199 station in Derry can only feed the low-pressure zone, so it is not just about fire protection for
200 Woodmont, as it will provide fire protection for Mountain Homes, schools, municipal buildings and
201 other parts of town. M Speltz pointed out the Commission's mission is to figure out the environmental
202 impact of the pump station, tank and transmission line, and not to solve the water needs of
203 Londonderry. He asked again if the booster station could be placed somewhere else outside of the
204 buffer. J Boisvert replied that all the connection points are where the proposed booster station is, but if
205 there was another location a stones throw away, they would entertain realignment, but have not found
206 one yet. M Speltz asked if the booster station could be placed on the other side of Michel's Way. J
207 Boisvert responded that could work potentially, but it would require a re-excavation to connect to the
208 lines on the other side. He reiterated that they will entertain mitigation measures that the Conservation
209 Commission feels should be put in place to accommodate the booster station in the proposed location.
210 M Badois expressed her opinion that it would be great to have the booster station on the other side of
211 Michel's Way. R Breslin stated that the 8-inch line should be replaced, as it should have been a long time
212 ago, and this should not be the burden of the tax payers. He said that there is money from the New
213 Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) to cover this. M Speltz mentioned that the
214 Commission could recommend they move the booster station out of the buffer to the other side of
215 Michel's Way or, should the Planning Board not support that recommendation, an engineering study be
216 done to figure out how to eliminate any possibility of sediment or stormwater generated on the site to
217 end up in Duck Pond. G Harrington mentioned that they do not like to see the impervious surface added
218 into the buffer. M Speltz noted that economic advantage is not a criterion to violate the buffer. J
219 Boisvert said that they appreciate all the comments or concerns brought forward this evening.

220 The Commissioners reviewed the DRC for Pennichuck Ground Water Tank Site Plan and had no
221 comments.

222 The Commissioners reviewed the CUP for Pennichuck Ground Water Tank Site Plan. M Speltz made a
223 motion to recommend approval of the CUP for the water tank. G Harrington seconded the motion. The
224 motion passed, 6-0-0.

225 **DRC & CUP – Pennichuck Booster Station SP Amendment – Map 10, Lot 41:** Trevor Yandow, P.E., from
226 Meridian Land Services, P.O. Box 118, Milford, NH, addressed the Commission. See above discussion.
227 The Commissioners reviewed the DRC for the Pennichuck Booster Station Site Plan Amendment and had
228 the following comments:



Londonderry Conservation Commission
Tuesday, June 28, 2022
Minutes

- 229 1. Recommend moving the booster station out of the buffer.
230 2. If this cannot be done, we recommend an engineering study be done to determine measures to
231 guarantee impervious surface and downslope water flow will not impact water quality in Duck
232 Pond.

233 The Commissioners reviewed the CUP for Pennichuck Booster Station Site Plan amendment and had the
234 following comment:

- 235 1. Economic advantage appears to be one of the reasons for the location of the booster station.

236 G Harrington made a motion to not recommend approval of the CUP due to the location of the booster
237 station in the buffer. M Speltz seconded the motion. The motion passed, 6-0-0.

238 **DRC & CUP – Pennichuck Transmission Main – Map 10, Lots 41 & 42:** Trevor Yandow, P.E., from
239 Meridian Land Services, P.O. Box 118, Milford, NH, addressed the Commission. See above discussion.

240 The Commissioners reviewed the DRC for Pennichuck Transmission Main and had the following
241 comment:

- 242 1. We support the use of directional drilling.

243 The Commissioners reviewed the CUP for Pennichuck Transmission Main. D Lievens made a motion to
244 recommend approval of the CUP for the water transmission line. M Speltz seconded the motion. The
245 motion passed, 6-0-0.

246 **CUP – Northeast Golf & Turf – Map 15, Lot 62-3 – 3 Enterprise Dr** – Jason Lopez, P.E., from Keach-
247 Nordstrom Associates, Inc., 10 Commerce Park North, Suite 3B, Bedford, NH, as well as Paul Kerry and
248 Michael LaClaire, from Northeast Golf & Turf, addressed the Commission. J Lopez passed out paper plan
249 sets to the Commission. S Malouin asked if the Commission had access to previous plan sets. A Kizak
250 replied that she did not think the plan set had changed. J Lopez interjected that the slope has changed.
251 M Badois read the previous CUP comment as follows: "That there may be ways to substantially reduce
252 the impact to the buffer." J Lopez explained that they have been in front of the Commission twice for
253 the CUP, noting the first time they used Form A, but that was incorrect as the structure was not in the
254 setback. He went on stating the second time he presented the CUP with the correct form, but the
255 Commission did not recommend approval. He reviewed the comments brought up by the Commission,
256 specifically how to reduce the impact to the buffer. He commented that they met with staff to review
257 the project in detail. He said that they did look at placing a seven feet tall vertical wall outside of the
258 setback, but this would be considered a structure and they would need a variance from the Zoning
259 Board of adjustment. He added that they would need to do a 3:1 slope as well, so they did not have to
260 get into special slope stabilization. He pointed out that he did not realize last time he was before the
261 Commission that there is a loading dock off the edge of the building with a trench drain that drains to a
262 drainage man hole that has a hood in it, which separates water and oils to capture and clean up any type



Londonderry Conservation Commission
Tuesday, June 28, 2022
Minutes

of contamination that might be released in the truck loading area. He noted that the installation of this pipe alone puts them into the buffer, so even by adding a wall and eliminating some steep slope, they still have to deal with how to get the stormwater from this area back out to the treatment swale for treatment. M Speltz asked if this was an open swale or conduit. J Lopez replied it is a pipe. He said that they have a subsurface system that is going to be under the side of the building in the back, and if they try to connect the drainage line back into the closed system, they lose more pitch and push the swale further towards the wetland. He said that this is the original reason that they disconnected the loading dock structure from the system and figured out a way to deal with the loading dock runoff and provide the oil/water separator. He reiterated that the wall does not have any reduction of impact in the buffer. M Speltz asked if this was temporary impact. J Lopez responded that is a good point and noted that the total buffer impact now is 5,335 SF. He mentioned that there is an area of 1,800 SF of buffer impact within the dual zone, which is in the 100-foot brook set back and 50-foot buffer setback, and the remaining 3,535 SF of impact is in the 100-foot buffer of Little Cohas Brook. He explained that from the meeting with staff, they decided to eliminate the riprap. He added that in the area of the 2:1 slope there was a concern about maintenance of the treatment swale, of which they have provided. He commented that they are going back to the 2:1 slope and using products that the applicant is a distributor of, which is a soil media material that is a binding agent sprayed on hydraulically with a seed mix in it. He said that the seed mix is a NHDOT slope mix and the applicant is looking to introduce pollinator species on the slope. He said that they are going to get more natural vegetation on the slope. D Lievens asked what the slope and wildflower mix are made out of. J Lopez read the contents of the NHDOT slope mix to the Commission. S Malouin mentioned that there are two invasive species in the mix. D Lievens clarified that they are non-native plants, not invasives. She added that the non-native plants will grow for a while and then die out and do not support native species. S Malouin commented that oxeye daisy is all along the highways and is considered a noxious weed. D Lievens commented that she is opposed to wild lupine. J Lopez pointed out that wild lupine is in the NHDOT slope mix, but they can extract it. S Malouin asked if they sell any other mixes and could look at more native mixes to use. M LaClaire asked for the Commission's recommendations. S Malouin replied that anything native would work. D Lievens stated that she can do some research and get back to them. M Speltz said that the engineers do not like wooded vegetation in treatment swales as they feel like it compromises the integrity of the banks, but it would only be rain water in this situation. He asked for the slope that was formerly riprap to naturalize completely. M LaClaire replied that their intentions are to not "take care" of it and let it naturalize. M Speltz made a motion to recommend approval of the CUP as updated. G Harrington seconded the motion. The motion passed, 6-0-0.

Unfinished Business

The Orchards' invasives: M Badois informed the Commission that she met with the residents and did a site walk noting there is a lot of bittersweet. She said that she gave them tips to try and fix the problem. She mentioned that the residents were told they could create a path along Duck Pond to get Woodmont, as long as they stay off the neighbor's land. She commented that she thought they would need a wetland permit because they are crossing a brook. G Harrington agreed that they will need a



Londonderry Conservation Commission
Tuesday, June 28, 2022
Minutes

302 permit. D Lievens asked if they understand how to dispose of bittersweet properly. M Badois replied
303 that she was not sure, but did educate them on how to dispose of it. M Speltz stated that he remembers
304 viewing a plan that showed a path all around Duck Pond. M Badois agreed that she remembers this as
305 well, but pointed out that this development was sold to Stabile, so it is not part of Woodmont and
306 wondered if this is how they are getting around it. She continued on stating that they had to move the
307 path as it was originally on private property, and that is how it ended in the buffer. She remarked that
308 the Commission needs to read all the fine details on the plan sheets going forward.

309 **Signs:** M Badois informed the Commission that the signs for Mack's and Kendall Pond were sent to
310 Imageability and she is waiting on proposals.

311 **Septic study:** M Speltz asked for an update. A Kizak noted that they just received the table from the
312 consultant and the summer intern is inputting the data. M Speltz asked for a time frame. A Kizak replied
313 that they just received the table today, so they are working on it. She reviewed the data that is in the
314 table with the Commission.

315 **New Business**

316 **Treasurer's Report:** D Lievens informed the Commission that there was no land use change tax this
317 fiscal year. She commented that the \$650 was moved back into the Open Space Protection Fund. She
318 mentioned that there is no action as far as receiving the \$400 from LCHIP and asked if this was still in
319 place and if there is a contact. M Speltz replied that he believed this was still in place and said she
320 should contact the office manager.

321 **ARM Grant:** M Speltz told the Commission that they asked for a check for The Natural Heritage Bureau
322 for threatened and endangered species, and apparently there are some hits. He said that to get the full
323 report, it costs \$25.00. M Speltz made a motion to expend \$25.00 from the Line Item Budget for the full
324 report from The Natural Heritage Bureau to be used in the ARM application. G Harrington seconded the
325 motion. The motion passed, 6-0-0.

326 **Email:** M Badois had an email from a resident on Otterson Road requesting the Commission put up
327 Turtle Crossing signs there. She said that she would get the exact number of signs needed and the
328 Commission can vote on this at the next meeting.

329 **Other Business**

330 **Minutes:** The Commissioners went over the public minutes of June 14, 2022. M Speltz made a motion
331 to approve the minutes as amended. G Harrington seconded the motion. The motion passed, 6-0-0.

332 **Adjournment:** M Speltz made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 10:10 p.m. G Harrington seconded
333 the motion. The motion passed, 6-0-0.

334 Respectfully Submitted,



Londonderry Conservation Commission
Tuesday, June 28, 2022
Minutes

335 Beth Morrison
336 Recording Secretary